Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Anne Nielsen, Andrew D. Bond\* and Christine J. McKenzie

University of Southern Denmark, Department of Chemistry, Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense, Denmark

Correspondence e-mail: adb@chem.sdu.dk

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 180 KMean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.005 \text{ Å}$ Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.043 wR factor = 0.120 Data-to-parameter ratio = 17.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# µ-Oxo-bis(µ-2-furancarboxylato)bis{[N-methyl-N,N-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine]iron(III)} bis(perchlorate) methanol solvate

In the title compound,  $[Fe_2O(C_5H_3O_3)_2(C_{13}H_{15}N_3)_2](ClO_4)_2$ . CH<sub>3</sub>OH, at 180 K, the complex lies on a twofold axis, as does the C atom of the disordered methanol molecule. Received 27 October 2004 Accepted 22 November 2004 Online 27 November 2004

## Comment

 $\mu$ -Oxo-bridged Fe(III)–Fe(III) complexes with neutral *N*donor capping ligands commonly also contain oxo-anion groups that bridge between the Fe atoms. The title complex, (I), is chemically closely related to three previously reported Fe(III)–( $\mu$ -O)–Fe(III) complexes containing the *N*-methyl-*N*,*N*-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine (medpa) ligand: the diethoxylato-bridged analogue crystallizes as both a monohydrate (Nishino *et al.*, 1999) and a dihydrate (Jensen *et al.*, 1997), the di-phenylato-bridged analogue crystallizes as a hemihydrate (Dick *et al.*, 1997), and the di-(2,3,5-triiodophenylato)-bridged analogue crystallizes without solvent inclusion (Dick *et al.*, 1997).



In the crystal structure of (I), the Fe(III)–Fe(III) complexes lie on twofold axes passing through the  $\mu$ -O atom and the midpoint of the Fe···Fe vector. The two furancarboxylato moieties form a dihedral angle of 101.8 (1)° between their least-squares planes, and the methanol moiety lies between them with its C atom also on the twofold axis (Fig. 2). Similar sites are occupied by water molecules in the di-phenylato analogue and in the monohydrate of the di-ethoxylato-bridged analogue, and by perchlorate anions in the other two cited examples.

### **Experimental**

2-Furancarboxylic acid (0.016 g, 0.144 mmol) in 0.5 ml methanol and medpa(HClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (0.059 g, 0.143 mmol; medpa = *N*-methyl-*N*,*N*-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine) in 5 ml hot methanol were added dropwise to a solution of Fe(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O (0.074 g, 0.144 mmol) in 0.25 ml methanol. The yellow precipitate was dissolved by dropwise addition of 0.75 ml water. Olive-green crystals suitable for X-ray analysis precipitated overnight. Total yield: 21%.



#### Figure 1

The complex cation in (I), showing displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level for non-H atoms. H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radius. Suffix A denotes symmetry code 1 - x, y,  $\frac{3}{2} - z$ .

Crystal data

$[Fe_2O(C_5H_3O_3)_2(C_{13}H_{15}N_3)_2]$ -	$D_x = 1.612 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$(ClO_4)_2 \cdot CH_4O$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 1007.35$	Cell parameters from 5148
Monoclinic, C2/c	reflections
a = 16.1111 (6)  Å	$\theta = 2.5 - 25.1^{\circ}$
b = 13.7316(5) Å	$\mu = 0.91 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 20.1356 (10)  Å	T = 180 (2)  K
$\beta = 111.277 \ (1)^{\circ}$	Block, green
V = 4151.0 (3) Å <sup>3</sup>	$0.16 \times 0.06 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$
Z = 4	

5149 independent reflections

 $R_{\rm int}=0.045$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 28.3^{\circ}$ 

 $h = -21 \rightarrow 21$ 

 $k = -18 \rightarrow 18$ 

 $l = -26 \rightarrow 26$ 

3740 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

#### Data collection

Bruker–Nonius X8 APEXII CCD diffractometer Thin-slice  $\omega$  and  $\varphi$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 2003)  $T_{min} = 0.851, T_{max} = 0.965$ 24686 measured reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0611P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$	+ 6.5832 <i>P</i> ]
$wR(F^2) = 0.120$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.01	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
5149 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.32 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
291 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.70 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

H atoms bound to C were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride during subsequent refinement, with C-H = 0.95 Å,  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2$  $U_{eq}(C)$  for C(*sp*<sup>2</sup>), C-H = 0.99 Å,  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 U_{eq}(C)$  for methylene groups and C-H = 0.98 Å,  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5 U_{eq}(C)$  for methyl groups. The methyl groups of the Fe(III)-Fe(III) complex were also allowed



Environment of the disordered methanol molecule in (I). H atoms have been omitted from the cation, as have the perchlorate anions. Suffix A denotes symmetry code 1 - x, y,  $\frac{3}{2} - z$ .

to rotate about their local threefold axes. The methanol solvent molecule was modelled as disordered, with its C atom lying on the twofold axis at  $(\frac{1}{2}, y, \frac{3}{4})$  and its O atom disordered over two sites related by the twofold rotation. The H atom of the hydroxyl group was evident in a difference Fourier map. For subsequent refinement, its position was adjusted along the observed O–H vector so that the O–H distance was 0.84 Å (the *SHELXL* default) and it was then allowed to ride on the O atom with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5 U_{eq}(O)$ . The H atoms of the methyl group were placed so as to form a staggered arrangement with the O–H bond and subsequently allowed to ride with C–H = 0.98 Å,  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5 U_{eq}(C)$ . The highest residual peak in the electron density is associated with the perchlorate anion.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker–Nonius, 2004); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

We are grateful to the Danish Natural Science Research Council (SNF) and Carlsbergfondet for provision of the X-ray equipment.

#### References

- Bruker Nonius (2004). *APEX*2. Version 1.0-22. Bruker Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Bruker (2003). SAINT. Version 7.06a. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Dick, S, Weiss, A., Wagner, U., Wagner, F. & Grosse, G. (1997). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, **52**, 372–384.
- Jensen, K. B., McKenzie, C. J., Simonsen, O., Toftlund, H. & Hazell, A. (1997). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 257, 163–172.
- Nishino, S., Hosomi, H., Ohba, S., Matsushima, H., Tokii, T. & Nishida, Y. (1999). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1509–1514.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2003). SADABS. Version 2.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2000). SHELXTL. Version 6.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.